

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,200	10/19/2001	Norman Ken Ouchi		2842
41212 7590 09/29/2009 NORMAN KEN OLICHI			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 201	P.O. BOX 20111 CHOL			
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95160		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/036,200	OUCHI, NORMAN KEN					
Examiner	Art Unit					
PETER CHOI	3623					

	PETER CHOI	3623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit of the proposed amendment for the world require further core. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed of the proposed for the proposed	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	ion form for appear by materially roo	rading or omiping in	10 100000 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		.,,	,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or memended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 21-24 and 26-40.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. \(\times \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
	/Jonathan G. Sterrett/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3623					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment to claims 21 and 29 do not remedy the outstanding 38 USC 101 rejection. Further, the proposed amendment to claims 22, 30 and 31 have broadened the scope of the claims (moving from connection to link). Additionally, the Examiner notes that the content of the arguments presented by the Applicant in explaining how the claimed invention is distinguishable from the prior art of record are not reflected in the language of the pending claims of the should in the final rejection, the prior and of record has been applied based on the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims in light of the specification, without importing limitations from the specification itself. The Examiner further directs the Applicant to the "Examiner Notes" section of the final rejection in which it is noted that "Objects" are used to refer to steps within an ERPs sem, and route segments referring to shop floor workflow steps. Applicant is again directed towards the Examiner Notes and encouraged to incorporate these limitations and like features from the specification into the claims in order to differentiate from the prior at of from the specification in the the claims in order to differentiate from the prior at of tenth of the proof of the specification in the the claims in order to differentiate from the prior at of tenth septiments.